

DEPARTMENT OF PHYSICS

STIRLING HALL Physics Engineering Physics Astronomy Queen's University Kingston, Canada K7L 3N6

26 October 1981

Dr. P. Parma, Istituto di Radioastronomia, c/o Istituto di Fisica 'A.Righi', Via Irnerio 46, 40126 Bologna, ITALY.

Dear Paola,

I enclose a copy of the draft of our paper, with my further comments. I am sorry that it has taken me a little while to reply, but your letter came just as I began teaching some new courses here. I have also had to be away for some time with the Design Study for the Canadian VLB array.

I have written my main comments or corrections throughout the copy of the paper, which I am returning.

First, I think that you and Roberto should be at the head of the list of authors, rather than the all-alphabetical listing as on the draft.

Second, I think the abstract should not make stronger statements than the body of the paper. I have changed it a little in the light of the several alternatives we consider for the jet models throughout the text.

I think the discussion in Section 6(b), p.12, will be clearer if we make a distinction between v_{exp} , the actual expansion velocity, and v_s , the internal sound speed in the jet. For the free jet $v_{exp} = v_s$, but in a confined jet v_{exp} is less than v_s . Hence $\tan(\theta/2) \leq v_s/v_{fl}$, and so $v_{fl} \leq v_s \cdot \cot(\theta/2)$.

Also, the jet length divided by v_{fl} will surely be a <u>lower limit</u> to the source timescale, as it would be the timescale if there were no energy storage in the extended components. I think this means that v_{fl} estimated from the energy argument is also an upper limit. The point of greatest interest is perhaps that both types of argument lead to limits in the same <u>regime</u>, even if the actual values are treated as limits.

I also enclose a copy of the work I have done with Dick Henriksen and Kwing Chan on using turbulence as an energy reservoir for in situ particle acceleration, via generation and damping of MHD waves from turbulent eddies.

If my suggestions seem o.k., please send me a copy of the paper as it is submitted. I could read it again (more quickly, now that teaching is under way) if you see any controversial points, however.

With best wishes,

CONSIGLIO NAZIONALE DELLE RICERCHE

ISTITUTO DI RADIOASTRONOMIA

c/o ISTITUTO DI FISICA «A. RIGHI» Via Irnerio, 46 - 40126 BOLOGNA (Italia) Tel. 23 28 56 - 26 09 91 Telex 211664 INFN BO I

Bologna September 16, 1981

Dr. Alan Bridle Department of Physics Queen's University Kingston, Ontario K7L 3N6 Canada

Dear Alan:

This is the last version of the paper about 1321+31. We have included your comments and rewritten the discussion. As you can see we need the VLA data for tables 2b and 3b. We asked Ron to find out, but could you remind him of it? We would like to receive your comments; please tell us if, after that, you prefer to check the paper again, or if we can send it to the referee.

In a few days I will send you the first part of a paper about 0326+39 (with tables and figures). In it we discuss the Westerbork data. Could you add a discussion about the VLA data? Best wishes.

Sincerely,

Paola Parma

Enclosure

Pole Parma

Table 1. Observational parametere USRT

| Freemence | ÷. | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | 5.0 | | |
|---------------------------------|--------------------|---------------------------------------|-------------------------|--|--|
| (641) | 0.6 | 1.4 | | | |
| Obs. date | october 78 | o-tober 74 | may 79 | | |
| Obs. time | 12 | 12 | 12 | | |
| Inter (eromoter spacing (m.) | {54+m72 236+m72 | 72+m72 | 54 + n 72 234 + n 72 | | |
| Radius 1st gratning | 46 × 83.2 | 10'x 1 9 | 6 × 11.6 | | |
| Primary beam | 84' | 34' | 10' | | |
| Number of interferometers | | 20 | 40 | | |

••• •• •• •• ••

·

Table 2. WSRT map parameters

| • | | | | | |
|-----------|-------------|--------------------------------|-----------------|------|--|
| Frequency | Half power | Interferometer spacings | R.H. S, | 0 | |
| (GH2) | beam width | shortest / increment / longest | st field centre | r 2' | |
| | (dresec) | (wave lengths) | (mJy/beam | | |
| | | | | | |
| 5.0 | 6 × 11,6 | 300 / 600 /26630 | 0.4 | 11 | |
| 5.0 | 26 × 45.5 | 300 600 6838 | 0.7 | -3 | |
| 1.4 | 24 × 45.5 | 1013 343 6736 | 1.0 | -2 | |
| 1.4 | 24 × 65.5 | 343/ 343 / 6736 | J .0 | -3 | |
| 1.4 | 25.6 × 48.6 | 343 / 343 / 3338 | 1.6 | -3 | |
| 0.6 | 25,6× 68.5 | 602/ 73.5/3252 | 1.8 | -2. | |
| 0.6 | 25.6 × 48.5 | 256 73.5 3252 | 1.8 | -2. | |

· ·

Table 4. Core parameters

Right ascension declimation Flux density at 1.6642 (VLA) Flux density at 5.0 GHz (KSRT) Spectral index (Sir) ~ v^{-d}) Angular size (from VLA mop) Radio lumimonity 2+ 1.4 GHZ % pol. / position angle / 2

132175.78 314933.4 7.7 mJz

- 0.79

2.10²¹ w/Hz

~ 5% /-10° / 1-46H2 < 67.

Table 5. Physical parameters for some locations along the jet

| •. | Dist | Ance | B | tran | nevie. | Den. | | . | | · | |
|----------|--------|--------|---------------------|---------------|--------|-----------|------|--------------------------------------|---------------------|------------------|-----------------|
| | from | 60 8 8 | m]3/41.141 | ب ا بر | e . | 50/21 | Heg | $H_2 n_e l$. (10 ¹³) | Mmm | ne (10-4) | |
| | drimin | t.p.c. | | drisec | Kri | | . ME | cg s | erg/im ³ | cm ⁻³ | |
| - | | | | · | · | | | | | | |
| | 4.5' | (4.8 | 2.0.10 | ((,5.) | 10.3 | (c 2 .) | 2.5 | | 6.3.10-13 | | • |
| • | 4.0 | 57.6 | 2.0.102 | (45.0) | 10.3 | () | 2.9 | | 6.9.10 | | |
| - ; | 3.5 | 50,6 | 1.7.102 | (45.3) | 10 8 | (,30) | 2.8 | 1 | 6.3.1013 | î | • |
| | 3.0 | 43 0 | 1.6.10 | (45.0) | 10.3 | .30 | 2.7 | 1.2 (.5 - 1,7) | 5.7.1013 | 2.7 +.9 | |
| • | 2.5 | 560 | -2 3·10 | (38.0) | 3.1 | .83 | 3.5 | 1.6 (14 - 1.5) | 9.6-10 | 3.2±1.0 | |
| • _ | 2.0 | :8.8 | -1 6 · 10 | 30.0 | 7.2 | .80 | 6.5 | しっく (1.2- 2.0) | 1,6 . 10 | 3.6=0.7 | e de la company |
| ` | 1.52 | 21.6 | 8.102 | 23,5 | 5.6 | .80 | 5.3 | 1,75 (1.2 - 2.3) | 2.2-10 | 3.910.7 | ÷, |
| | 1.0 | 16.0 | 8.102 | 15,5 | 3.8 | .80 | 5.9 | 1.75 (1.2-2.0) | 2.8.10-12 | 5.2 ±1.0 | |
| • | 9.Ŧ | 10.0 | 8.10 | 11.5 | 4.8 | . 78 | 6.4 | 1.8(1.5-2.0) | 3.3 - 10 | 6.5±1.0 | • |
| • | 0.5 | 7.2 | 1.2. 101 | 8.0 | 1.9 | | в.о | | 5.2.1012 | | |
| _ | 0.2 | 2.3 | 6 - 10 | 3.5 | , 3 | | 16.0 | | 2.1.10 | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | • |
| •• | 0.2 | 2.9 | 6.10 | 4.0 | 1.0 | | 13.7 | | 1.5+10 | | |
| . • | 0.5 | 7.2 | 1.1.10 | 5.5 | 2.3 | | 7.5 | | 4.4.10 | | |
| • | 0.7 | 10.0 | 8.10 | 13,5 | 3,2 | .95 | 6.2 | -9(-1.2) | 3.0.10" | 2.5 + . 5 | |
| • | 1.0 | 16.0 | 7.5.152 | 25.0 | 4.8 | .85 | 5.4 | 1.5 (1.0-1.5) | 2.3.10 | 3.8 ± 1.3 | •• |
| • | 1.5 | 21.6 | 6 · 10 ² | 33.0 | 7.5 | .78 | 4.4 | 1.8 (1.4 - 2.2) | 1.6.10 | 3.4 ± .8 | l t t |
| | 2.0 | 23.3 | 4.10 | (35.2) | 8.4 | .78 | 3,9 | 1.8 •1 | 1.2 1012 | 3.4 ±.8 | 9789-14 |
| | 2.5 | 36.0 | 2.2.10 | (40.0) | 9.6 | .90 | 3.1 | 1.2 (.5 -1.7) | 7.8.10 | 2.6 2.5 | |
| | 3.0 | 43.0 | 1.2.10 | (60.0) | | 1.00 | 2.8 | < 1.1 | 6.0.10 | < 2.5 | |
| | 3.50 | 55.4 | 1.2.10 | (60.0) | •, | 1.00 | 2.6 | b 1 | 5.5.1013 | v | |
| | 4.0 | 57.6 | 1.5.00 | (40) | Dj. | 1.0 3 | 2.8 | 40 | 6.3 . 10 | u | |
| | ζ.ς | 64.0 | | | | | | | | | |
| | 5.0 | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 5.5 | | | · · | · · | | | | | 1 | 125 T 126 |
| | | | | 1 | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |

.(

Table 6. Integraled polarization parameters

Frequency 16Hz) 1.4 0,6 5.0 2.7 19% 21% 20% 16 % Fractional polarisation 110° 120°. 112° 0 155±15 Position dngle

. • ·

Table 7. Intrunsec properties of the broad components 5 • • . B2 1321+31 B2 0924+30 1.7 . 1023 Radio Luminosity 1.0 .10 (w HZ) Component = 45 80 sizes (kpi) 7.10 1.5 10 63 Volume (m3) 2-10-13 6.10-14 Egmportution energy densits (erg / cm3) 4.1056 3.1056 Minimum energy (ergs) 1.6.10 0.8.10 Heg (pours) 3.8.1012 Hinnel (czs) 6.105 me (~~3) 10 Thermal plasme man (NO) .< 10⁸ Tempersture (or) < 2 10 57 Thermal energy (2829) Alfven velocity 600 $(km/\kappa c)$







1-: 7





н 1. Пар



