Notes on stellar mass input calculations

1 Assumptions

2 Deprojection of the surface-brightness distribution

The general relations are given by Binney & Merrifield, p. 180:

$$
L(r) = -\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{r}^{\infty} \frac{dI}{dR} \frac{dR}{(R^2 - r^2)^{1/2}}
$$

$$
I(R) = 2 \int_{R}^{\infty} \frac{rL(r)}{(r^2 - R^2)^{1/2}} dr
$$

where $L(r)$ is the luminosity density and $I(R)$ is the surface brightness.

2.1 Power-law deprojection $(a_3 \cup C_4 \land a_2 \lor b_3)$ For a surface-brightness distribution of the form

$$
I(R) = I_0 R^{-\delta}
$$

\n
$$
\frac{dI}{dR} = -\delta I_0 R^{-(1+\delta)}
$$

\n
$$
L(r) = \frac{\delta}{\pi} I_0 \int_r^{\infty} \frac{R^{-(1+\delta)}}{(R^2 - r^2)^{1/2}} dR
$$

With the substitution $\cos \theta = r/R$, this reduces to

$$
L(r) = \frac{\delta I_0}{\pi} r^{-(1+\delta)} \int_0^{\pi/2} (\cos \theta)^{\delta} d\theta
$$

\n
$$
\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{i} \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{r})
$$

The inverse problem starts with the luminosity density

$$
L(r) = L_0 r^{-(1+\delta)}
$$

\n
$$
I(R) = 2L_0 \int_R^{\infty} \frac{r^{-\delta}}{(r^2 - R^2)^{1/2}} dr
$$

\n
$$
= 2L_0 R^{-\delta} \int_0^{\pi/2} (\cos \theta)^{\delta - 1} d\theta
$$

1

This time the substitution is $\cos \theta = R/r$. The condition for the two relations to be consistent is

$$
\frac{2\delta}{\pi} \int_0^{\pi/2} (\cos \theta)^{\delta} d\theta \int_0^{\pi/2} (\cos \theta)^{\delta - 1} d\theta = 1
$$

Gradshteyn & Ryzhik 3.621.1 gives the integrals as beta functions:

$$
\int_0^{\pi/2} \cos^{\mu-1} x dx = 2^{\mu-2} \mathcal{B}\left(\frac{\mu}{2}, \frac{\mu}{2}\right)
$$

so

$$
\frac{2\delta}{\pi} \int_0^{\pi/2} (\cos \theta)^{\delta} d\theta \int_0^{\pi/2} (\cos \theta)^{\delta-1} d\theta
$$
\n
$$
= \frac{2^{2\delta-2} \delta}{\pi} \mathcal{B} \left(\frac{\delta}{2}, \frac{\delta}{2} \right) \mathcal{B} \left(\frac{\delta+1}{2}, \frac{\delta+1}{2} \right)
$$
\n
$$
= \frac{2^{2\delta-2} \delta}{\pi} \frac{\Gamma(\delta/2)^2 \Gamma(\delta/2 + 1/2)^2}{\Gamma(\delta) \Gamma(\delta+1)}
$$
\n
$$
= \frac{2^{2\delta-2}}{\pi} \left[\frac{\Gamma(\delta/2) \Gamma(\delta/2 + 1/2)}{\Gamma(\delta)} \right]^2
$$
\n
$$
= 1
$$

Where we have used GR 8.384.1 to express the beta functions in terms of gamma functions and the doubling formula for Gamma functions (GR 8.335.1):

$$
\Gamma(\delta) = \frac{2^{\delta - 1}}{\pi^{1/2}} \Gamma(\delta/2) \Gamma(\delta/2 + 1/2)
$$

Program plint does a numerical check of this result and works out the value of L_0/I_0 given δ .

2.2 Sérsic/de Vaucouleurs deprojection

2.2.1 The Sérsic profile

The Sérsic brightness profile (Ciotti 1991; Graham & Driver 2005) is:

$$
I(R) = I_e \exp[-b_n[(R/R_e)^{1/n} - 1]]
$$

= $I_0 \exp[-b_n(R/R_e)^{1/n}]$

$$
I_0 = I_e \exp b_n
$$

2

where I_e is the surface brightness at effective radius R_e that encloses half of the light. The De Vaucouleurs profile is the special case with $n = 4$.

The coefficient b_n is defined by the condition

$$
\Gamma(2n) \quad = \quad 2\gamma(2n,b_n)
$$

Numerical Recipes provides a function gammp (a, x) for

$$
P(a,x) = \frac{\gamma(a,x)}{\Gamma(a)}
$$

(this uses gcf and gser. We need to solve

$$
\frac{\gamma(2n,b_n)}{\Gamma(2n)} = \frac{1}{2}
$$

or

$$
P(2n, b_n) = \frac{1}{2}
$$

Solve this using Brent's method, starting from the approximation

$$
b_n \approx 1.9992n - 0.3271
$$

which is valid for $0.5 < n < 10$. Implemented as a first step in deproject.

2.2.2 Numerical deprojection

This is done in deproject. The luminosity density is:

$$
L(r) = \frac{I_e b_n}{n\pi} \int_r^{\infty} \left(\frac{R}{R_e}\right)^{1/n} \exp[-b_n[(R/R_e)^{1/n} - 1]] \frac{dR}{R(R^2 - r^2)^{1/2}}
$$

We use the dimensionless luminosity density $\nu(s)$, where $s = r/R_e$ and $L(r) =$ $(I_0/R_e)\nu(s)$ (Ciotti 1991) and make the substitution $\cos\theta = r/R$, to get:

$$
\nu(s) = \frac{b_n}{n\pi} s^{1/n-1} \int_0^{\pi/2} (\sec \theta)^{1/n} \exp[-b_n(s \sec \theta)^{1/n}] d\theta
$$

The derived luminosity density agrees with that plotted in Fig. 2 of Ciotti (1991) for values of n between 2 and 10. The values quoted by Mazure $\&$ Capelato (2001), which are claimed to be consistent with Young (1976), have been "normalised by a factor $exp(b_4)\pi8!/b_4^8$ ", but they also use a different notation and definition of $\nu(s)$ (their I_0 is our I_e). Their numbers therefore appear to have been divided by $\pi 8!/b_4^8$ = 1.05839366 × 10⁻² compared with ours. deproject agrees well with their Table 1 in the range $s = 0.01 - 10$ for the de Vaucouleurs case.

Figure 1: The dimensionless luminosity density $\nu(s)$ for the Sérsic profile, for comparison with Ciotti (1991) Fig. 2.

2.2.3 Mellier & Mathez method

I do not understand the normalization. Fortunately, this is not a problem for the published curve in Laing & Bridle (2002b), which was normalized to and aperture magnitude.

3 Stellar luminosity and mass-loss rate

3.1 Solar colours and absolute magnitude in Kron-Cousins system

Solar absolute magnitudes in the Johnson system (AQ) are: $M_{R\odot} = +4.30$, $M_{V\odot}$ = +4.82 and $M_{B\odot}$ = +5.48 (AQ). Fernie (1983) gives the relation between $V - R$ in the Kron-Cousins and Johnson systems:

$$
(V - R)_{\rm KC} = -0.024 + 0.730(V - R)_{\rm J}
$$

Both systems use the same V band, so $M_{R₀} = +4.46$ in the Kron-Cousins system. We will also need the colour $B - R = 1.18$ (Johnson).

3.2 Relation between mass-loss rate and luminosity

We started with the mass loss rate predicted by Faber et al. (1976) for an elliptical galaxy stellar population as a function of the blue luminosity, L_B , in solar units,

$$
(\dot{M}/M_{\odot}\,\rm{yr}^{-1}) = 0.015(L_B/10^9L_{B\odot})
$$

which is consistent with the estimate from infrared observations by Knapp et al. (1992):

$$
(\dot{M}/M_{\odot}\,\mathrm{yr}^{-1}) = 0.0021(L_K/10^9L_{K\odot})
$$

(scaling from the B-band value would give 0.0026).

The B-band value needs to be scaled to the right wavelength for the observations using the extinction-corrected magnitudes for the Sun and the radio galaxy. [We could use the K-band value directly for NGC 315.] The scaling constant is $0.015 \times 10^{[(B-R)_\odot - (B-R)_{\rm gal}]/2.5}$

4 Application to individual sources

4.1 3C31

4.1.1 General

1. We started with the R-band CCD photometry of Owen & Laing (1989). A galactic extinction of $A_R = 0.189$ (Schlegel et al. 1998) was removed, a K-correction was applied, assuming a flat spectrum as in Owen & Laing (1989):

$$
\frac{\sigma_{\rm rest}}{\sigma_{\rm obs}} \approx (1+z)^4
$$

Table 1: Magnitudes and extinctions for NGC 383.

giving a correction of -0.072 mag for $z = 0.0167$ and the fit was converted to absolute magnitude (note the change of Hubble Constant to $H_0 =$ $70 \text{ km s}^{-1} \text{ Mpc}^{-1}$ from that used by Owen & Laing 1989). This conversion assumed a luminosity distance of $cz/H_0 = 71.52$ Mpc for $z = 0.0167$. In the original calculation, we subtracted 34.533 mag to convert from apparent to rest-frame absolute mag $\arccos\frac{-2}{\pi}$.

2. Next, convert to solar luminosities assuming an absolute magnitude of 4.46 for the Sun in the Kron-Cousins R band (Astrophysical Quantities, Fernie).

 $I(R)/L_{\odot} \text{arcsec}^{-2} = 10^{[38.993 - \sigma(R)]/2.5}$

- 3. The surface-brightness distribution was then deprojected (details depend on the functional form — see below).
- 4. Scale the mass loss rate from Faber et al. (1976) to the R band using extinction-corrected colours for NGC 383 (Sandage, Schegel et al. 1998) and the Sun (Astrophysical Quantities). For NGC 383, the values are given in Table 1. so $B - R = 1.91$ (Johnson), corrected for extinction. For the Sun (see above) $B - R = 1.18$ (Johnson). The scaling constant is therefore $0.015 \times 10^{[(B-R)_\odot - (B-R)_{\rm gal}]/2.5} = 0.077$ and

$$
(\dot{M}/M_{\odot} \,\mathrm{yr}^{-1}) = 0.0077(L_R/10^9 L_{R\odot})
$$

4.1.2 Power-law deprojection

1. The surface-brightness profile is well fitted by a power-law surface-brightness distribution

$$
\sigma(R)/\text{mag arcsec}^{-2} = 15.53 - 2.5\delta \lg(R/\text{arcsec})
$$

with $\delta = 1.65$ (index quoted by Owen & Laing 1989; normalization by reading the data off a postscript version of the plot and fitting it). After extinction and K-correction, the rest-frame surface brightness in solar units is

S

$$
I(R)/L_{\odot} \text{arcsec}^{-2} = 10^{[23.463 - 2.5\delta \lg(R/\text{arcsec})]/2.5}
$$

= 2.428 × 10⁹(R/\text{arcsec})⁻⁶

2. Convert to distance, assuming 0.34 kpc arcsec⁻², giving

$$
I(R)/10^9 L_{\odot} \text{kpc}^{-2} = 3.54 (R/\text{kpc})^{-1.65}
$$

3. The surface-brightness distribution was then deprojected to give the luminosity density as above. For $\delta = 1.65$, $I_0 / L_0 = 0.4435$, so

$$
L(r)/10^9 L_{\odot} \text{kpc}^{-3} = 1.57 (r/\text{kpc})^{-2.65}
$$

4. Then use the conversion factor between R-band luminosity in solar units and mass-loss rate to give

$$
(\dot{M}/M_{\odot} \text{ yr}^{-1} \text{kpc}^{-3})
$$
 = 1.21 × 10⁻²(r/kpc)^{-2.65}
\n($\dot{M}/\text{kgyr}^{-1} \text{pc}^{-3}$) = 2.41 × 10¹⁹(r/kpc)^{-2.65}

 $(M_{\odot} = 1.989 \times 10^{30}$ kg).

4.1.3 De Vaucouleurs deprojection

4.2 NGC 315

5 Mass input in the inner jets

$$
\frac{d}{dz} (n_p \Gamma \beta A) \quad = \quad \dot{n}_p A
$$

where n_p is the number of protons injected per unit volume and time, whic is a Lorentz invariant. Hence the mass flux is

$$
\Psi = \int_0^{z_{\rm flare}} m dz
$$

5.1 3C31

Suppose initially that the power-law fit can be extrapolated into the nucleus (this is unreasonable, as the enclosed luminosity does diverges as $r \to 0$ for the measured index, although the luminosity density does not). Then the mass

input rate is $2.41 \times 10^{19} (z/\text{kpc}^{-2.65} \text{ kg pc}^{-3} \text{ yr}^{-1}$. For the jet geometry of Laing & Bridle (2002a), the area is $\pi(z \tan \xi_1)^2$ so the mass input rate per unit length of jet is $\dot{m} = 3.34 \times 10^{19} (z/\text{kpc}^{-0.65} \text{kg kpc}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1})$.

However, the luminosity density must certainly flatten off at small r and indeed is measured to do so for large ellipticals (core galaxies).

6 Mistakes, worries, questions and things to do

For $3C31$ we used $z = 0.0167$ and a crude value of the luminosity distance (cz/H_0) . For consistency, we should adopt $z = 0.0169$ and a concordance cosmology. Also check that the luminosity distance produced by flat and the adopted K-correction are mutually consistent.

We write the mass-loss rate in terms of solar luminosities in the R band using Johnson R, but then calculate the luminosity in the Kron-Cousins system. Is this logically correct? Seems odd to base everything on solar luminosities for an old stellar population: is that what Knapp et al. did?

The plotted stellar mass loss curve in Laing & Bridle (2002b) is actually derived from the de Vaucouleurs fit in Owen & Laing (1989) rather than the power-law fit as it says in the text. Need to confess.

Try using the Knapp et al. K-band mass-loss rate directly for NGC 315.

References
Bannes - Memfield
Ciotti, L, 1991. ASA 249, 99 Mague As Capelats HV 2002 ASA 385, 384 1926, AJ 81, 807 Fale & Callghe 1976 Kneipp $ChmanAws$ Princisp, 2005, PASA 22, 118.

S

à.