From VM Mon Mar 18 15:19:09 1996 X-VM-Summary-Format: "%n %*%a %-17.17F %-3.3m %2d %41/%-5c %I\"%s\"\n" X-VM-Labels: nil X-VM-VHeader: ("Resent-" "From:" "Sender:" "To:" "Apparently-To:" "Cc:" "Subject:" "Date:") nil X-VM-Bookmark: 3 Content-Length: 226 X-VM-v5-Data: ([nil nil nil nil nil nil nil nil nil] ["226" "Mon" "18" "March" "1996" "09:28:11" "-0500" "Alan Bridle" "abridle" nil "11" "M.Swain oral exam" "^From:" nil nil "3" nil nil nil nil] nil) Received: by polaris.cv.nrao.edu (AIX 3.2/UCB 5.64/4.03) id AA24337; Mon, 18 Mar 1996 09:28:11 -0500 Message-Id: <9603181428.AA24337@polaris.cv.nrao.edu> From: abridle (Alan Bridle) To: dmw@isis.pas.rochester.edu Subject: M.Swain oral exam Date: Mon, 18 Mar 1996 09:28:11 -0500

Hi Dan,

I will plan to drive up to Rochester for Mark's oral, arriving Wed evening or Thurs morning. Is there a hotel within plausible walking distance of your department, or can I park somewhere close by it?

Alan B.

From VM Mon Mar 18 15:19:20 1996 Content-Length: 424 X-VM-v5-Data: ([nil nil nil nil t nil nil nil] ["424" "Mon" "18" "March" "1996" "10:07:04" "-0500" "Dan Watson" "dmw@isis.pas.rochester.edu" "<199603181507.KAA20202@isis.pas.rochester.edu>" "10" "Mark's thesis defense" "^From:" nil nil "3" nil nil nil nil] nil) Received: from cv3.cv.nrao.edu by polaris.cv.nrao.edu (AIX 3.2/UCB 5.64/4.03) id AA49018; Mon, 18 Mar 1996 10:20:53 -0500 Received: from isis.pas.rochester.edu (isis.pas.rochester.edu [128.151.144.40]) by cv3.cv.nrao.edu (8.7.5/8.7.1/CV-2.1) with ESMTP id KAA20126 for <abridle@polaris.cv.nrao.edu>; Mon, 18 Mar 1996 10:20:51 -0500 (EST) Received: (from dmw@localhost) by isis.pas.rochester.edu (8.7.4/8.7.3) id KAA20202 for abridle@polaris.cv.nrao.edu; Mon, 18 Mar 1996 10:07:04 -0500 (EST) Message-Id: <199603181507.KAA20202@isis.pas.rochester.edu> From: Dan Watson <dmw@isis.pas.rochester.edu> To: abridle@polaris.cv.nrao.edu Subject: Mark's thesis defense Date: Mon, 18 Mar 1996 10:07:04 -0500 (EST)

Alan:

I'll take care of putting you in the relatively nearby Hampton Inn (I-390 at NYS Rt. 15A) for Wednesday and Thursday evening, and I'll arrange a campus parking permit. (Or, actually, I'll ask our group administrator Marilee Montanaro - 716-275-4405 - to do so since she's better than I at these sorts of things.) Is there anything else you'll need? Will you be here for dinner on Wednesday and/or Thursday?

Dan

From VM Mon Mar 18 15:19:35 1996 X-VM-v5-Data: ([nil nil nil nil nil nil t nil nil] ["869" "Mon" "18" "March" "1996" "10:47:37" "-0500" "Alan Bridle" "abridle" nil "25" "Re: Mark's thesis defense" "^From:" nil nil "3" nil nil (number " " mark " Z Alan Bridle Mar 18 25/869 "thread-indent "\"Re: Mark's thesis defense\"\n") nil] nil) Content-Length: 869 Received: by polaris.cv.nrao.edu (AIX 3.2/UCB 5.64/4.03) id AA46079; Mon, 18 Mar 1996 10:47:37 -0500 Message-Id: <9603181547.AA46079@polaris.cv.nrao.edu> In-Reply-To: <199603181507.KAA20202@isis.pas.rochester.edu> References: <199603181507.KAA20202@isis.pas.rochester.edu> From: abridle (Alan Bridle) To: Dan Watson <dmw@isis.pas.rochester.edu> Subject: Re: Mark's thesis defense Date: Mon, 18 Mar 1996 10:47:37 -0500 Dan Watson writes: > Alan: > I'll take care of putting you in the relatively nearby Hampton Inn (I-390 > at NYS Rt. 15A) for Wednesday and Thursday evening, and I'll arrange a > campus parking permit. (Or, actually, I'll ask our group administrator > Marilee Montanaro - 716-275-4405 - to do so since she's better than I at > these sorts of things.) Is there anything else you'll need? Will you be here > for dinner on Wednesday and/or Thursday?

>

Thanks, Dan.

I'm not sure of my ETA on Wednesday yet but I will certainly plan to be in town at dinner-time on the Thursday evening.

I'll probably remember the layout of the region around campus when I get there, but if you could have your administrator mail or FAX me a campus map that might be helpful. I'll probably need one in order to make use of the parking permit in any case....

Alan

From VM Sat Mar 30 05:57:23 1996 X-VM-v5-Data: ([nil nil nil nil nil nil nil nil] ["793" "Wed" "27" "March" "1996" "09:00:47" "-0500" "Dan Watson" "dmw@isis.pas.rochester.edu" nil "16" "Mark's thesis defense" "^From:" nil nil "3" nil nil nil nil] nil) Content-Length: 793 Received: from cv3.cv.nrao.edu by polaris.cv.nrao.edu (AIX 3.2/UCB 5.64/4.03) id AA46153; Wed, 27 Mar 1996 09:14:58 -0500 Received: from isis.pas.rochester.edu (isis.pas.rochester.edu [128.151.144.40]) by cv3.cv.nrao.edu (8.7.5/8.7.1/CV-2.1) with ESMTP id JAA17263 for <abridle@polaris.cv.nrao.edu>; Wed, 27 Mar 1996 09:14:57 -0500 (EST) Received: (from dmw@localhost) by isis.pas.rochester.edu (8.7.4/8.7.3) id JAA23932 for abridle@polaris.cv.nrao.edu; Wed, 27 Mar 1996 09:00:47 -0500 (EST) Message-Id: <199603271400.JAA23932@isis.pas.rochester.edu> From: Dan Watson <dmw@isis.pas.rochester.edu> To: abridle@polaris.cv.nrao.edu Subject: Mark's thesis defense Date: Wed, 27 Mar 1996 09:00:47 -0500 (EST)

Alan:

I'm relieved to hear that you're free of serious medical problems but terribly sorry to hear that your father-in-law has passed on. Are you sure you'll be up for a trip to Rochester? We could postpone it (over Mark's protests, I'm sure, but it does seem like a right thing to do), or, if you'd prefer to get it over with but to remain in Charlottesville (or anywhere else with advanced electronics) we could do it via videocon (my current favorite, as we have a nice setup in our department now) or telecon. Let me know ASAP if you'd like to explore any of these alternatives.

In any case, if you appear at the Hampton Inn tonight (remember, it's at NYS Route 15A and I-390), you will find a map to campus and a parking permit, with instructions on the use of the permit.

Dan

From VM Sat Mar 30 05:57:24 1996 X-VM-v5-Data: ([nil nil nil nil t nil nil nil] ["526" "Fri" "29" "March" "1996" "13:24:42" "EST" "Hugh M. Van Horn" "hvanhorn@nsf.gov" "<199603291824.AA17338@note1.nsf.gov>" "15" "Mark Swain" "^From:" nil nil "3" nil nil nil nil] nil) Content-Length: 526 Received: from cv3.cv.nrao.edu by polaris.cv.nrao.edu (AIX 3.2/UCB 5.64/4.03) id AA41911; Fri, 29 Mar 1996 13:25:21 -0500 Received: from notel.nsf.gov (notel.nsf.gov [128.150.11.1]) by cv3.cv.nrao.edu (8.7.5/8.7.1/CV-2.1) with SMTP id NAA00479 for <abridle@nrao.edu>; Fri, 29 Mar 1996 13:25:11 -0500 (EST) Received: from localhost by note1.nsf.gov with SMTP id AA17338 (5.65c/IDA-1.4.4 for <abridle@nrao.edu>); Fri, 29 Mar 1996 13:24:42 -0500 Message-Id: <199603291824.AA17338@note1.nsf.gov> From: "Hugh M. Van Horn" <hvanhorn@nsf.gov> To: abridle@nrao.edu, dmw@isis.pas.rochester.edu Subject: Mark Swain Date: Fri, 29 Mar 96 13:24:42 EST

Dear Alan and Dan:

I'm sorry that I had to leave yesterday before I had a chance to talk with you both after Mark's thesis defense. I think you both did a SUPERB job in guiding him through an exceptionally interesting thesis! I was really fascinated by the "rails" and by the excellent physics he got out of that with your guidance.

I'm really pleased that you both agreed to take charge of this unusual case, and I think we can all be very well satisfied with the results!

With very best persoal regards.

Hugh

Hugh,

Thanks for your note re Mark's thesis and defence. I'm also pleased with how things have turned out, and am looking forward to carrying on some further projects with Mark; we are working with Rick Perley on 3C219---another FRII jet that can be transverse-resolved with the VLA and which also hints of boundary-layer emission and a fast "spine".

One of the real challenges will be to distinguish how much of the apparent emissivity variation across these jets is real and how much is due to Doppler bias; this will require a sample of well-resolved jets and counterjets in FRII's spanning a range of orientations to the line of sight i.e. including some broad-line radio galaxies/quasars. This will be a good arena for the expanded VLA (and the proposed wide-band IF system, to get the sensitivity needed to pin down the transverse polarization profiles at high resolution).

I also think Mark has landed on his feet at Cornell and should do well in a situation where he is again "hands-on" with an instrument. I was relieved to see him get that position in a difficult year and I'm delighted that we were able to get his thesis finished up in such a timely fashion.

Sorry we did not have longer to talk, but I was glad to hear that this year's budgetary craziness did not dissuade you from continuing to fight the good fight at the NSF.

With best wishes,

Alan B.

From VM Tue Mar 26 01:57:30 1996 X-VM-v5-Data: ([nil nil nil nil nil nil nil nil nil] ["1687" "Mon" "25" "March" "1996" "18:07:15" "-0500" "Alan Bridle" "abridle" nil "36" "forwarded message from Alan Bridle" "^From:" nil nil "3" nil nil nil nil] nil) Content-Length: 1687 Received: by polaris.cv.nrao.edu (AIX 3.2/UCB 5.64/4.03) id AA56995; Mon, 25 Mar 1996 18:07:15 -0500 Message-Id: <9603252307.AA56995@polaris.cv.nrao.edu> From: abridle (Alan Bridle) To: dmw@isis.pas.rochester.edu Subject: forwarded message from Alan Bridle Date: Mon, 25 Mar 1996 18:07:15 -0500 ----- start of forwarded message (RFC 934 encapsulation) ------Dan Watson writes: > Alan: > I'll take care of putting you in the relatively nearby Hampton Inn (I-390 > at NYS Rt. 15A) for Wednesday and Thursday evening, and I'll arrange a > campus parking permit. (Or, actually, I'll ask our group administrator > Marilee Montanaro - 716-275-4405 - to do so since she's better than I at > these sorts of things.) Is there anything else you'll need? Will you be here > for dinner on Wednesday and/or Thursday? I'll probably remember the layout of the region around campus when I get there, but if you could have your administrator mail or FAX me a campus map that might be helpful. I'll probably need one in order to make use of the parking permit in any case As I didn't hear anything back from you or her after this do I assume that a parking map and/or permit will be at the hotel when I check in, or is there somewhere I can park temporarily until picking up a permit? I should mention a slight possibility that I may not be able to come as scheduled: I have been seeing my doctor re a medical problem and he has ordered some tests that will be done and evaluated tomorrow afternoon. He wants to see the results before he okays me for leaving town. I am expecting that all will be well and would not even have mentioned it ahead of time except that Mark is making arrangements to come down here on Saturday to make his corrections and I needed to tell him to hold off on details until late Tuesday when my situation is clarified. If there is any problem I will let you both know Tuesday p.m., otherwise assume all is well. Α. From VM Mon Apr 1 10:27:32 1996 X-VM-v5-Data: ([nil nil nil nil nil nil nil nil nil] ["8554" "Mon" "1" "April" "1996" "10:27:20" "-0500" "Alan Bridle" "abridle" nil "237" "Re: Mark's thesis defense" "^From:" nil nil "4" nil nil nil nil] nil) Content-Length: 8554

Dan, here FYI is the list of comments and suggestions for changes that I gave to Mark. I will sort out details with him here.

Things that should be fixed in final version:

Missing: summaries of 3C353 integrated properties: lobe, jet and counterjet integrated flux densities at all freqs luminosities, justification of jet/counterjet prominence statements, e.g. as in abstract, line 4., p.7, p.79, p.93 etc.

estimates, (at least equipartition), of strengths of B fields in jet and in lobes

- p.iv, last line missing "of"
- p.viii line 1, extra "of"
- p.2 which particles were included by H & R?
- p.3 1.9 implies that Urry/Padovani consider only FR~II's; not so Cohen/Unwin a strange choice for superluminal motion ref, a lot has happened in 14 years since! But it was not the first (by a long way)
- p.6 the "dentist drill model which Scheuer (1982) proposed ... "?
- p.7 "edge brightening of the west lobe" cannot be a general characteristic of all FRII sources!

"total power" (line 6) is a monochromatic power for total source, not being used with its usual meaning here

why did delta and b lead to exclusion of 3C353, and how have you got around this, given that they have not changed?

- p.8 are the 14.9 GHz images used anywhere?
- p.10 Why "owned" by the NRAO; not sure that's true, and not needed.
- p.22 Table 2.1: caption has unmatched paren before eta sensitivity depends on tapering used, as discussed later: which is this?

why quote frequencies not used in thesis?

p.24 I and I_0 were never defined, their definition is essential to understanding all that follows:

Eqn 2.37 must assume a particular bandwidth shape, what is it? Eqn 2.38 does not contain the effects of baseline orientation, so how is I/I_0 being measured for time-averaging? -- it should say. unclear how Theta(max) in Eq. 2.39 and Theta in 2.38 are related. unclear that Theta(HPBW) is synthesized HPBW, hence a proxy for baseline length

- p.25 l.10 "point center"? not "pointing center", surely, but delay tracking center.
- p.28 Figure 3.1 is pretty: but is it entirely fair? What transfer functions were used? Are they the same for the two halves? How much of comparison is B vs ABCD, how much deconvolution, how much self-calibration?

Also looks to me as if upper panel is not primary beam corrected, but lower is; is this one pointing versus a mosaic, also?

- p.33 line 4 from end "brightest unresolved structure" cannot be more than two items with these choices. How does this square with observed properties of most sources?
- p.35 ," should be ", How does a "region" have a "resolution"?
- p.37 footnote #5, why must be marginally resolved? unresolved?
- p.47 footnote #1, don't usually have same BW for all configs, because of BW smearing
- p.48 "current" AIPS package here means early 1995? Should say. pre-IMAGR - which concerns are now applicable to AIPS?
- p.53 likewise, should identify AIPS epoch to which comments applied, as many changes made since
- p.63 Jy/beam area
- p.73-76 not really relevant to results presented, unless describe how they do affect what is discussed now. This material was written when expected that large-scale spectral gradients and aging would be major discussion topic. Now only the lobe RM analysis and spectra next to jet could depend on it much (jet and counterjet spectra largely differential, even in tomography, and not much is made of them or the sheath spectra in any case).

14.9 GHz images were not used at all for the analysis, appear only in introductory chapters?

- p.77 Table 4.2 needs to be related to Table 2.1; how close to expected performance are the "final images"?
- p.79 Typo: two-sided

- p.80 would be helpful to identify which profiles are the significantly flat-topped ones, now that they are all labeled; this was purpose of adding individual labels to Figure 5.6
- p.83 should give definition of "equivalent rectangular width", this is not a common term in literature
- p.87, Fig. 5.7 angular units given as mJy
- p.88, Fig. 5.8 caption refers to cj4 and cj7, which are not present in figure whole figure looks mislabeled---this is counterjet data, like Fig. 5.7
- p.89 Fig. 5.9 would be much more convincing if HPBW shown other than in words
- p.90 isophote mispelled isophot Table 5.1 caption: one isophote cannot have a separation, must be plural
- p.92 line 6: does the asymmetry have to be in the expansion? why not a deflection?

last line: "show"

- p.93 Table 5.2 how come the counterjet sheath error is 15 times the jet error, and how have the jet and counterjet been averaged? Was just talking about "average jet profile", so what is "average jet flux density"?
- p.93 ratio quoted in line 4 is "intensity ratio"? integrated, or averaged per unit length?
- p.97 Figure 5.13 caption type J1&C1 top panel X axis is mislabeled: this is jet data?
- p.102 last line, this is the Rotation Measure, not Faraday depth
- p.103 RM cannot be determined (unambiguously) from 2 frequencies, and was not (p.111 footnote)!

This section needs to be clarified, discussing the ambiguities, especially as potential ambiguities are shown in Fig. 5.30 (p.125)

- p.106 line 6 from end "internal to jet": why wouldn't a boundary-layer, i.e. surface, feature be able to do this?
- p.117 top can you characterize the variations of the polarization properties in any simple fashion? "positive and negative peaks in difference profiles" is a bit vague, is there a pattern that can be described?
- p.117 footnote. the beam can never resolve fluctuations along the line of sight, so this type of depolarization should be distinguished from beam depolarization, which involves fluctuations across the line of sight?

p.122 line 10 "the" missing

Figure 5.26 profile !-1 average ?

p. 135-140 are units explained anywhere?

p.144 Fig. 6.8 the psi of the legend is the i of the captions should be changed for consistency

p.147 "none" is singular, not plural (line 8)

- p.152 Fig. 6.12 caption <,> have been interchanged in line 3
 assumption behind "P inverted" should be explained in text on p.149
 why is this o.k.?
- p.157 Fig. 6.17 caption obscure: i = i and $0 \le i$ 80?
- p.165 statement about pressure-gradient stabilization should be referenced there is an article mentioning this in Ann Rev Fl. Mech 1996.

p.167 line 7 from end "temporally" means "temporarily" here?

p.168 Laing model dates to 1993 in literature.

- p.170 line 5 245? line 11 from end punctuation ";" not),(also line 3 from end ";" not)(
- p.174 M87 opening angle, is this full angle or half angle?
- p.175 line 8 "terms _of_ magnetic field"
 line 12 "jets", not "jet"
 line
 11 from end: orphan M can be avoided in TeX, use "M\,87"
- p.176 line 3 intrinsically is mis-spelled
- p.177 line 7 detailed imaging might not confirm the picture!

Bibliography: is in neither strict alphabetical nor strict chronological order within each letter. B & P 84, no vol no. B, B, F, F, P, E. year given as 245, no vol. no. C & E 85, no vol. no. de R et al 91, page no. should be at end. F & R 74, no vol. no. Greisen, private comm. should not be in bibl and private mis-spelled K et al 91, no vol. no. Laing 1993 Cambridge mis-spelled Laing 1980 our of order and no vol. no. Laing 1981a no vol. no., out of order Laing 1981b, no vol. no., out of order Parma et al. 87 out of order unless chrono P & C 1996 out of order Perley 89 page no. should be at end P, B, & C 92 out of order, 258 in middle of publisher. Schwarz 78 page no in parentheses, why? Schwarz 79 page no. should be at end T et al 91, gratuitous J., before Swenson Vinokur page no in parentheses W & K 74 no vol. no. W & G 85, no vol. no.

Α.